

REMARKS

Claims 20 and 28-33 are now pending in the application. In the Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment, the Examiner alleges that the claims amended in the Amendment filed August 9, 2007, do not read on the invention elected for prosecution (i.e., Species D; Figures 6A and 6B) and, therefore, the Amendment is non-responsive. Applicant respectfully asserts, however, that the amendments to claim 20 included herewith read on elected Species D and define the location of the "edge" relative to the transmissive and reflective display regions.


Furthermore, these amendments were discussed in a telephonic interview with the Examiner on November 27, 2007. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courtesies extended in the telephonic interview to Applicant's representative, Jason A. Heist. In the interview, the Examiner tentatively agreed that the amendments to claim 20 were responsive. The Examiner also suggested various claim amendments, which have been incorporated into claim 20. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests favorable consideration of the amendments to claim 20 in that the amendments are fully responsive. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite

prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at
(248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Nov 29, 2007

By: _____


G. Gregory Schley

Reg. No. 27,382

Bryant E. Wade

Reg. No. 40,344

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

GGs/BEW/JAH